

## Antiferroelectricity in Liquid Crystals

With an electric field in the plane of a freely suspended smectic film, Link *et al.* [1] observe for a chiral compound in the antiferroelectric smectic  $C_A^*$  phase that the macroscopic polarization in films with an odd number of layers was perpendicular to the macroscopic polarization in films with an even number of layers. They interpret their  $90^\circ$  effect as evidence for longitudinal surface induced ferroelectricity where the net polarization  $\mathbf{P}$  has a component parallel to the director. In this Comment we note that their interpretation is inconsistent with the theory they invoke [2] as well as the growing body of evidence [3–6] that antiferroelectricity is a bulk, as opposed to a surface induced, property of smectic  $C_A^*$ . The interpretation we give here (Fig. 1) relies on the existence of a polarization ( $P_x$  in Fig. 1) ignored by Link *et al.* [1] but allowed by the additional symmetry of the bilayer stacking of smectic  $C_A^*$  [3,4] and which does not apply to a surface layer.

The question of the direction of  $\mathbf{P}$  in biaxial smectics is now crucial to account for the appearance of a spontaneous  $\mathbf{P}$  in liquid crystal phases formed by *nonchiral* banana-shaped molecules (e.g., [7–9]). It is also important to differentiate the most recent high performance antiferroelectric liquid crystal displays [10] from other liquid crystal display technologies relying principally on surface effects.

The occurrence of a longitudinal macroscopic polarization in the bulk was predicted by Petschek and Wiefling [2] for smectic systems involving three different types of layers. They considered a smectic  $A$ -type ferroelectric phase with  $C_{\infty v}$  symmetry and a smectic  $C$ -type phase with  $C_{1h}$  symmetry. In particular, they pointed out that, in the  $C$ -type phase, the macroscopic polarization in the bulk lies in the plane spanned by the layer normal and the in-plane projection of the director. When a phase with  $C_{1h}$  symmetry is chiralized, the horizontal mirror plane is lost resulting in a phase with  $C_1$  symmetry (meaning no symmetry). Phases with  $C_1$  symmetry are well known (e.g., [11]) to have a polarization  $\mathbf{P}$  in the bulk with three nonvanishing components. This is physically different from the picture proposed in [1] involving only surface ferroelectricity.

In [7] and [3], we noted that tilted systems with  $C_{2v}$  symmetry could have a spontaneous polarization in the tilt plane in nonchiral substances. In Fig. 1, we show the expected behavior for  $\mathbf{P}$  in smectic  $C_A^*$ . Figure 1 accounts for the  $90^\circ$  odd-even effect observed in freely suspended films [1,5] as well as in the bulk [4].

In summary, the experimental results reported [1,5] on the effect of an electric field on freely suspended smectic  $C_A^*$  films with an even or odd number of layers are fully




FIG. 1. The net polarizations expected [3] and measured in the bulk of  $C_A^*$  [4], for even (left) and odd (right) number of layers without invoking surface induced ferroelectricity.

compatible with the symmetry analysis in [7] and [3]. Furthermore, this interpretation (Fig. 1) is consistent with the growing body of evidence that antiferroelectricity is a bulk, as opposed to a surface induced, property of smectic  $C_A^*$ .

Helmut R. Brand,<sup>1,2</sup> P. E. Cladis,<sup>2</sup> and Harald Pleiner<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Theoretische Physik III, Universität Bayreuth  
95440 Bayreuth, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Advanced Liquid Crystal Technologies  
P.O. Box 1314, Summit, New Jersey 07902

<sup>3</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Polymerforschung  
Postfach 3148, 55021 Mainz, Germany

Received 19 April 2000

DOI:

PACS numbers: 61.30.Eb, 61.30.Gd

- [1] D. R. Link, J. E. MacLennan, and N. A. Clark, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2237 (1996).
- [2] R. G. Petschek and K. M. Wiefling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 343 (1987).
- [3] P. E. Cladis and H. R. Brand, *Liq. Cryst.* **14**, 1327 (1993).
- [4] K. Miyachi, J. Matsushima, Y. Takanishi, K. Ishikawa, H. Takezoe, and A. Fukuda, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 2153 (1995).
- [5] H. Kobayashi, N. Matsuhashi, Y. Okumoto, and T. Akahane, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **38**, 6428 (1999).
- [6] P. Mach, R. Pindak, A-M. Levelut, P. Barois, H. T. Nguyen, C. C. Huang, and L. Furenlid, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1015 (1998).
- [7] H. R. Brand, P. E. Cladis, and H. Pleiner, *Macromolecules* **25**, 7723 (1992).
- [8] G. Pelzl, S. Diele, and W. Weissflog, *Adv. Mater.* **11**, 707 (1999).
- [9] H. R. Brand, P. E. Cladis, and H. Pleiner, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **6**, 347 (1998).
- [10] T. Yoshida, e.g. in P. E. Cladis, H. R. Brand and H. Pleiner, *Liquid Crystals Today* **9**, 1 (1999).
- [11] W. P. Mason, *Physica Acoustics and the Properties of Solids* (D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1958).